

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JULIUS BRADFORD,

 Petitioner,
 v.

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

 Respondents.

Case No. 2:13-cv-01784-RFB-GWF

**ORDER GRANTING
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed their answer on March 25, 2019 (ECF No. 98). The petitioner, Julius Bradford, represented by appointed counsel, was then due to file his reply by May 9, 2019. See Order entered January 13, 2017 (ECF No. 66) (45 days for reply).

On May 9, 2019, Bradford filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 114), requesting a 90-day extension of time, to August 7, 2019, to file his reply. Bradford's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of the complexity of this case and his obligations in other cases. This would be the first extension of this deadline. The respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that Bradford's motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time requested. The Court will grant the motion for extension of time.

However, in view of the length of this extension of time, the Court will not look favorably upon any motion to further extend this deadline.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF No. 114) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **August 7, 2019**, to file his reply to the respondents' answer.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in all other respects, the schedule for further proceedings set forth in the order entered January 13, 2017 (ECF No. 66) will remain in effect.

DATED this 13th day of May, 2019.


RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE